

Environmental Permit No. EP-608/2022

Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Independent Environmental Checker Verification

Reference Document/Plan

| | |
|--|--|
| Document/ Plan to be Certified / Verified: | Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (January 2026) |
| Date of Report: | 13 February 2026 |
| Date received by IEC: | 11 February 2026 |

Reference EP Condition / EM&A Manual

| | |
|--|-----|
| Environmental Permit Condition: | 3.4 |
| <p>The Permit Holder shall submit 1 hard copy and 1 electronic copy of Monthly EM&A Reports for the construction stage of the Project to the Director, within 10 working days after the end of the reporting month. The monthly EM&A Reports shall include an executive summary of all environmental audit results, together with actions taken in the event of non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit Levels), complaints received and emergency events relating to violation of environmental legislation. The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the IEC as having complied with the requirements as set out in the EM&A Manual before submission to the Director. Additional copies of the Monthly EM&A Reports shall be provided upon request by the Director.</p> | |

IEC Verification

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| I hereby verify that the above referenced document/ plan complies with the above referenced condition of EP-608/2022. | |
|  | |
| Ms Mandy To Independent Environmental Checker | Date: 12 February 2026 |

DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

CONTRACT NO. HATS 07/2023
ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR HUNG SHUI KIU
EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT PHASE 1
MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND
AUDIT REPORT (JANUARY 2026)

FEBRUARY 13, 2026



CONTRACT NO. HATS
07/2023

ENVIRONMENTAL
TEAM FOR HUNG
SHUI KIU EFFLUENT
POLISHING PLANT
PHASE 1

MONTHLY
ENVIRONMENTAL
MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT (JANUARY
2026)

DRAINAGE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

DATE: FEBRUARY 13, 2026

WSP
7/F ONE KOWLOON
1 WANG YUEN STREET
KOWLOON BAY, HONG KONG

T: +852 2579 8899

WSP.COM

QUALITY MANAGEMENT

| ISSUE/REVISION | FIRST ISSUE | REVISION 1 | REVISION 2 | REVISION 3 |
|----------------|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Remarks | | | | |
| Date | 13 February 2026 | | | |
| Prepared by | Vanessa Li | | | |
| Signature |  | | | |
| Checked by | Arthur Lo | | | |
| Signature |  | | | |
| Authorised by | Squall Lam | | | |
| Signature |  | | | |
| Project number | 2535852A | | | |

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Vanessa Li

Vanessa Li
Consultant

CERTIFIED BY *(must be reviewed for technical accuracy prior to approval)*

Squall Lam

Squall Lam
Environmental Team Leader

WSP (Asia) Ltd. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, Drainage Services Department, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP (Asia) Ltd. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance or decisions. WSP (Asia) Ltd. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP (Asia) Ltd. for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP (Asia) Ltd., its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP (Asia) Ltd. does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 1.1 Purpose of the Report | 3 |
| 1.2 Report Structure | 3 |
| 2 PROJECT INFORMATION | 4 |
| 2.1 Background | 4 |
| 2.2 General Description of the Project | 4 |
| 2.3 Construction Programme and Activities | 5 |
| 2.4 Project Organization | 5 |
| 2.5 Status of Environmental Licences, Notification and Permits | 5 |
| 3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT | 7 |
| 3.1 Construction Dust Monitoring | 7 |
| 3.2 Water Quality Monitoring | 10 |
| 4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES | 15 |
| 5 MONITORING RESULTS | 16 |
| 5.1 Construction Dust Monitoring | 16 |
| 5.2 Water Quality Monitoring | 16 |
| 5.3 Waste Management | 17 |
| 5.4 Landscape and Visual | 18 |
| 6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT | 19 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 7 | ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE | 20 |
| 7.1 | Summary of Monitoring Exceedances | 20 |
| 7.2 | Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance | 20 |
| 8 | FURTHER KEY ISSUES | 22 |
| 8.1 | Construction Programme for the Next Three Months | 22 |
| 8.2 | Key Issues for the Coming Month | 22 |
| 8.3 | Monitoring Schedule for the Next Month | 22 |
| 9 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 23 |
| 9.1 | Conclusions | 23 |
| 9.2 | Recommendations | 23 |

TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|----|
| TABLE 2.1 | SUMMARY OF MAJOR CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE REPORTING PERIOD..... | 5 |
| TABLE 2.2 | CONTACT INFORMATION OF KEY PERSONNEL..... | 5 |
| TABLE 2.3 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS..... | 6 |
| TABLE 3.1 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR 1-HOUR TSP..... | 7 |
| TABLE 3.2 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT..... | 7 |
| TABLE 3.3 | LOCATIONS OF CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING STATION..... | 8 |
| TABLE 3.4 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY..... | 10 |
| TABLE 3.5 | WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT..... | 11 |
| TABLE 3.6 | ANALYTICAL METHODS TO BE APPLIED TO WATER QUALITY SAMPLES..... | 13 |
| TABLE 3.7 | LOCATIONS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS..... | 13 |
| TABLE 5.1 | SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULT IN THE REPORTING PERIOD..... | 16 |
| TABLE 5.2 | SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS IN THE REPORTING PERIOD..... | 17 |
| TABLE 5.3 | QUANTITIES OF WASTE GENERATED AND DISPOSAL LOCATION IN THE REPORTING PERIOD..... | 18 |
| TABLE 6.1 | OBSERVATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF SITE AUDIT..... | 19 |
| TABLE 7.1 | SUMMARY OF EXCEEDANCE..... | 20 |
| TABLE 7.2 | SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS, SUMMON AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION..... | 20 |
| TABLE 8.1 | MAJOR CONSTRUCTION FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTH..... | 22 |

FIGURES

| | |
|------------|--|
| FIGURE 2.1 | Site Layout Plan |
| FIGURE 3.1 | Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Stations |
| FIGURE 3.2 | Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations |

APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX A | Tentative Construction Programme |
| APPENDIX B | Project Organization Structure |
| APPENDIX C | Project Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures |
| APPENDIX D | Calibration Certificates of Equipment and Certificates of HOKLAS Laboratory |
| APPENDIX E | Monitoring Schedules |
| APPENDIX F | Air Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentations |
| APPENDIX G | Wind Speed and Direction from Hong Kong Observatory |
| APPENDIX H | Event and Action Plan |
| APPENDIX I | Water Quality Monitoring Results and their Graphical Presentation |
| APPENDIX J | Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table |
| APPENDIX K | Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant (HSKEPP) (hereinafter referred to as “the Project”) is to provide sewage treatment to the sewage collected from the Hung Shiu Kiu / Ha Tsuen New Development Area (HSK/HT NDA) and other developments in the North West New Territories (NWNT), and to subsequently dispose the treated effluent.

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report and its Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual (Register No. AEIAR-240/2022) for HSKEPP was approved on 19 October 2022, with an Environmental Permit (EP) granted on 19 October 2022 (EP No. EP-608/2022) and 23 April 2025 (EP No. EP-608/2022/A) under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO).

The construction was commenced on 6 September 2024. This is the 17th EM&A report documents the findings of EM&A works conducted during the period from 1 to 31 January 2026.

EM&A Activities Summary

A summary of the EM&A activities in this reporting month is listed below:

| EM&A Activities | Date |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Air Quality Monitoring | 2*, 8*, 14*, 19*, 24* and 30* January 2026 |
| Water Quality Monitoring | 2, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28 and 30 January 2026 |
| Environmental Site Inspection | 2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 January 2026 |

Notes(*): The Air Quality Impact Monitoring at AM3 is suspended from 7 Nov 2024 due to the construction works of other project at AM3.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality

No exceedance of the Action / Limit Level of 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) was recorded in the reporting month. Details are provided in **Appendix F**.

Breaches of Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

No exceedance of the Action / Limit Level of water quality monitoring was recorded in the reporting month. Details are provided in **Appendix I**.

Complaint, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecution

No environmental complaint, notification of summons and successful prosecution were received in the reporting month.

Reporting Changes

There was no reporting change in the reporting month.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the next three months included the following.

| Site | Construction Activities |
|--|---|
| Contract No. DC/2024/11 Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1 – Civil Works | - Mobilization and Setup - Site Accommodation - Predrilling |

Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, water quality and waste management.

1 INTRODUCTION

WSP (Asia) Limited (WSP) was appointed by Drainage Services Department (DSD) as the Environmental Team (ET) to undertake the Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme during construction phase of the Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant (HSKEPP) (hereinafter referred to as “the Project”).

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) programme for the Project commenced on 6 September 2024. This is the 17th EM&A Report for the Project which summarises the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the Project during the period from 1 to 31 January 2026.

1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

1.2.1 This monthly EM&A Report is organized as follows:

- (a) Section 1: Introduction
- (b) Section 2: Project Information
- (c) Section 3: Environmental Monitoring Requirement
- (d) Section 4: Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures
- (e) Section 5: Monitoring Results
- (f) Section 6: Environmental Site Inspection and Audit
- (g) Section 7: Environmental Non-conformance
- (h) Section 8: Future Key Issues
- (i) Section 9: Conclusions and Recommendations

2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 BACKGROUND

- 2.1.1 The Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant (HSKEPP) (the Project) which is located in the north-western side of the Hung Shiu Kiu / Ha Tsuen New Development Area (HSK/HT NDA). It is designed to provide reliable, adequate and quality sewage treatment and sewage effluent disposal for the sewage collected from the new developments within the HSK/HT NDA and other developments on the North West New Territories (NWNT).
- 2.1.2 An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study for the Project was conducted in accordance with EIA Study Brief No. ESB- 312/2019. The EIA Report and Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Manual (Register No. AEIAR – 240/2022) were approved on 19 October 2022 under the Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (EIAO), with an Environmental Permit (EP) granted on 19 October 2022 (EP No. EP-608/2022). Variation of Environmental Permit (EP No. EP-608/2022A) was issued by EPD on 23 Apr 2025.
- 2.1.3 According to the approved EM&A Manual of HSKEPP, the EM&A monitoring for the Project includes air quality monitoring and water quality monitoring. Baseline dust and water quality monitoring for HSKEPP was carried out within 24 June 2024 and 20 July 2024.
-

2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

- 2.2.1 The key elements of this Project comprise below:
- (a) Demolition of existing structures and buildings within San Wai Preliminary Treatment Works (SWPTW) for construction of HSKEPP facilities;
 - (b) Construction of a sewage treatment plant with a maximum capacity of Average Dry Weather Flow (ADWF) up to 90,000 m³/day;
 - (c) Construction of sludge treatment facilities for treating sludge generated from Hung Shui Kiu (HSK) Effluent Polishing Plant (EPP) and additional sludge generated from the San Wai Sewage Treatment Works (STW) and other nearby STWs;
 - (d) Construction of facilities for receiving and co-digesting pre-treated food or organic wastes;
 - (e) Construction of effluent discharge pipe connecting to the existing discharge tunnel of San Wai STW; and
 - (f) Associated ancillary works.
- 2.2.2 The layout plan of the Project is shown in **Figure 2.1**.

2.3 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME AND ACTIVITIES

2.3.1 The major construction activities undertaken in the reporting month are summarised below:

Table 2.1 Summary of Major Construction Activities in the Reporting Period

| Site | Construction Activities |
|--|--|
| Contract No. DC/2024/11 Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1 – Civil Works | - Mobilization - Set Up Temporary Container Site Office |

2.3.2 The tentative construction programme is presented in **Appendix A**.

2.4 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.4.1 The project organization structure is shown in **Appendix B**. The key personnel contact names and numbers for the Project are summarized in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2 Contact Information of Key Personnel

| Party | Role | Position | Name | Telephone |
|---|---|--|-------------------|-----------|
| DSD | Project Proponent | Engr/6 (Harbour Area Treatment Scheme) | Mr. Gabriel Lau | 2159 3410 |
| AECOM | Engineer's Representative | Associate | Mr. Brian Wong | 3856 5082 |
| ERM | Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) | Independent Environmental Checker | Ms. Mandy To | 2271 3313 |
| China State - Best Build Joint Venture (CSBBJV) | Contractor | Environmental Officer | Ms. Tiffany Tsang | 5117 9020 |
| WSP | Environmental Team (ET) | ET Leader | Mr. Squall Lam | 2579 8841 |

2.5 STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENCES, NOTIFICATION AND PERMITS

2.5.1 Relevant environmental licenses, permits and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project and valid in the reporting month are summarized in **Table 2.3**.

Table 2.3 Status of Environmental Licenses, Notification and Permits

| Permit / License No. / Notification/ Reference No. | Valid Period | | Status | Remarks |
|--|-----------------|---------------|------------|--|
| | From | To | | |
| Environmental Permit | | | | |
| EP-608/2022 | 19 Oct 2022 | 23 April 2025 | Superseded | EP-608/2022 |
| EP-608/2022/A | 23 April 2025 | - | Valid | EP-608/2022/A |
| Wastewater Discharge License | | | | |
| 10023284 | 22 Jan 2026 | 31 Jan 2026 | Valid | WT00048021-2026 |
| Chemical Waste Producer Registration | | | | |
| 5213-511-C5120-01 | 13 October 2025 | - | Valid | - |
| Billing Account for Construction Waste Disposal | | | | |
| 7055204 | 22 July 2025 | - | Valid | - |
| Notification Under Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | Notification has been submitted to EPD on 30 June 2025 |
| Construction Noise Permit | | | | |
| PP-RN0032-25 | 4 Nov 2025 | 3 Feb 2026 | Valid | Percussive Piling |

3 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REQUIREMENT

3.1 CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, 1-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) level at the designated air quality monitoring station is required. Impact 1-hour TSP monitoring should be carried out for at least three times in every six-days at the designated monitoring stations. The Action and Limit Level of the air quality monitoring is provided in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

| ID | Location | Action Level | Limit Level |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| AM1 | Tseung Kong Wai | 263.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| AM2 | Farm House | 260.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |
| AM3 | Planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop | 263.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ |

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 3.1.2 1-hour TSP air quality monitoring was performed using portable direct reading dust meter located at the designated monitoring stations. Portable direct reading dust meters used for the monitoring were proven to IEC to be capable of achieving comparable result as that of the dust meter and thus were used for sampling. The portable direct reading dust meters meet all the requirements of the EM&A Manual. Brand and model of the equipment is given in **Table 3.2**. Their latest calibration certificates of the portable direct reading dust meter are provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Brand and Model |
|--|--|
| Portable direct reading dust meter (1-hour TSP) | TSI Handheld TSP Meter (Model No. AM520; S/N: 5202345003) |
| | TSI Handheld TSP Meter (Model No. AM520; S/N: 5201735004) |

MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 3.1.3 The monitoring station for construction dust monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for HSKEPP of the Project. The location of the construction dust monitoring stations is summarised in **Table 3.3** and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Table 3.3 Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring Station

| Monitoring Location ID ⁽¹⁾ | Dust Monitoring Location |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| AM1 | Tseung Kong Wai |
| AM2 | Farm House |
| AM3 ⁽²⁾ | Planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop |

Note:

- (1) 1-hour TSP impact monitoring should be conducted at the monitoring stations when there are Project-related major construction activities including site formation and piling works being undertaken within a radius of 500m from the monitoring stations.
- (2) According to ET's monitoring team, AM3 was blocked on 1 November 2024 for construction works. Based on the communications among ET, DSD, IEC and EPD, all parties have no comment on the suspension of air quality impact monitoring at AM3 until the construction works at AM3 is completed. Details can be referred to Appendix L of the Monthly EM&A Report (November 2024).

3.1.4 Air Quality Impact Monitoring at AM3 was temporarily suspended starting from 7 Nov 2024 and would be resumed after the completion of construction of the planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop use. Details of the suspension is presented in Appendix L of the Monthly EM&A Report (November 2024).

MONITORING METHODOLOGY

3.1.5 The 1-hour TSP was sampled by drawing air into the portable dust monitor where particular concentrations were measured instantaneously with an in-built silicon detector sensing light scattered by the particulates in the sampled air. Continuous TSP levels were indicated and logged by a built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

Field Monitoring

3.1.6 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter was undertaken in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:

- (a) Power on the meter
- (b) Check the data and time
- (c) Check the battery and make sure it is good enough to complete the sampling
- (d) Select the "Calibration" from the menu
- (e) Plug in the zero calibration filter to the meter
- (f) Select the "Zero Cal" and run it
- (g) Back to main menu and select the "Run Mode/Manual Mode"
- (h) Press "Enter" to start the sampling
- (i) When sampling is completed, press the "Enter" to stop the sampling
- (j) Back to the main menu and select the "Data"

(k) Select the "Statistic" and read back the last memory record

3.1.7 Adoption of the wind data from the existing automatic wind station, i.e. Lau Fau Shan, operated by Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) rather than setting up wind data monitoring equipment is based on the following justifications:

- Lau Fan Shan automatic wind station is located in the vicinity of the designated monitoring locations. This Automatic wind station (22°28'08", 113°59'01") is located at the north of the Project and the anemometer is set up at 31m above mean sea level. It is clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by the buildings;
- This automatic wind station was considered as the closest wind station to the Project that could provide representative wind data in Hung Shui Kiu areas; and
- Wind data collected by HKO was considered as a reliable data source for the wind data, it is widely used in many EM&A Projects (e.g. Expansion of Hong Kong International Airport into a Three-runway System, Siu Ho Wan Station and Siu Ho Wan Depot Replanning Works – Advance Construction Works). The dataset is more accurate and reliable that could be downloaded periodically with real-timed data logger.

3.1.8 The data collected from Lau Fau Shan was used to check the wind speed and wind direction. Details of the collected wind data were presented in **Appendix G**.

Maintenance and Calibration

3.1.9 The portable direct reading dust meter is calibrated every year against high volume sampler (HVS) to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

3.1.10 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.1.11 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE REPORTING MONTH

3.1.12 The schedule for dust monitoring conducted in January 2026 is provided in **Appendix E**.

3.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- 3.2.1 In accordance with the approved EM&A Manual and EP, baseline water quality monitoring was undertaken to establish the baseline water quality levels at the designated monitoring stations. The stream water quality monitoring was conducted 3 days per week, with sampling/measurement at all designated monitoring stations including control station as specified in **Table 3.7**.
- 3.2.2 Measurements at the C1 and M1 stations for construction stage at were taken. Water depths, namely, 1m below water surface, mid-depth and 1m above sea/river bed, except where the water depth less that 6m, in which case the mid-depth station may be omitted. Should the water depth be less than 3m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored. The Action and Limit Level of the water monitoring is provided in **Table 3.4**.

Table 3.4 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality

| Parameter | Station M1 | |
|------------------|---|---|
| | Action Level | Limit Level |
| DO in mg/L | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Turbidity in NTU | 17.2 OR 120% of upstream control station(s) at the same tide of the same day, whichever is higher | 17.7 OR 130% of upstream control station(s) at the same tide of the same day, whichever is higher |
| | 25 OR 120% of upstream control station(s) at the same tide of the same day, whichever is higher | 26 OR 130% of upstream control station(s) at the same tide of the same day, whichever is higher |

MONITORING PARAMETERS

- 3.2.3 Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Salinity, Temperature, pH, and Turbidity should be monitored at designated water quality monitoring stations. All parameters should be measured in-situ whereas suspended solids (SS) should be determined by the laboratory. DO should be presented in mg/L and in % saturation.
- 3.2.4 Other relevant data should also be recorded, including monitoring location / position, time, weather conditions and any special phenomena or work underway at the construction site during the monitoring.

MONITORING EQUIPMENT

- 3.2.5 Based on the approved EM&A Manual, the monitoring equipment in **Table 3.5** were used for the in-situ measurement of water quality. A copy of the calibration certificates for the water quality monitoring equipment are provided in **Appendix D**.

Table 3.5 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| DO and Temperature Meter, Salinity Meter, pH meter and Turbidimeter | YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters |
| Serial Number | 1. 16H104233 |
| Water Depth Sensor | Xyorca XY-453 (S/N: OA35000025) |
| Water Sampler | 1120-1180 Vertical Alpha™ Bottles |

MONITORING METHODOLOGY

Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Instrument

- 3.2.6 The instrument is a portable and weatherproof DO measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor and use a DC power source. The equipment is capable of measuring:
- a DO-level in the range of 0 - 20 mg/L and 0 - 200% saturation; and
 - a temperature of 0 - 45 degree Celsius
- 3.2.7 It has a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. Sufficient stocks of spare electrodes and cables should be available for replacement where necessary. (For example, YSI model 59 meter, YSI 5739 probe, YSI 5795A submersible stirrer with reel and cable or an approved similar instrument).
- 3.2.8 Salinity compensation was not built-in to the DO equipment, in-situ salinity measured to calibrate the DO equipment prior to each DO measurement.

Turbidity Measuring Instrument

- 3.2.9 Turbidity measured in-situ by the nephelometric method. The instrument is portable and weatherproof using a DC power source complete with cable, sensor and comprehensive operation manuals. It has a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 - 1000 NTU (for example, Hach model 2100P or an approved similar instrument). The cable is not less than 25m in length. The meter calibrated in order to establish the relationship between NTU units and the levels of suspended solids. The turbidity measurement carried out on split water sample collected from the same depths of suspended solids samples.

Sampler

- 3.2.10 A water sampler is required. It comprises a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth (for example, Kahlsico Water Sampler or an approved similar instrument).

Water Depth Detector

- 3.2.11 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder shall be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit can either be hand-held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat, if the same vessel is to be used throughout the monitoring programme.

Salinity Measuring Equipment

- 3.2.12 A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0 - 40 parts per thousand (ppt) provided for measuring salinity of the water at each monitoring location.

pH Measuring Equipment

- 3.2.13 The instrument consists of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It is readable to 0.1 pH in a range of 0 to 14. Standard buffer solutions of at least pH 7 and pH 10 used for calibration of the instrument before and after use. Details of the method should comply with American Public Health Association (APHA), 19th Edition 4500-HTB.

Sample Containers and Storage

- 3.2.14 Water samples for SS determination stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Sufficient volume of samples collected to achieve the detection limit.

CALIBRATION OF IN-SITU INSTRUMENTS

- 3.2.15 All in-situ monitoring instruments checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use and subsequently re-calibrated at 3 monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring. Responses of sensors and electrodes checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter carried out before measurement at each monitoring location.
- 3.2.16 Sufficient stocks of spare parts shall be maintained for replacements when necessary. Backup monitoring equipment shall also be made available so that monitoring can proceed uninterrupted even when some equipment is under maintenance, calibration, etc.

LABORATORY MEASUREMENT/ANALYSIS

- 3.2.17 Analysis of SS levels shall be carried out in a HOKLAS or another international accredited laboratory. Sufficient water samples (i.e. not less than 2 litres) collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory determinations, with detection limit shown in **Table 3.6**. All samples assigned a unique code and accompanied by Chain of Custody (COC) sheets.
- 3.2.18 The SS determination work start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The analyses follow the standard methods according to **Table 3.6** and as described in “American Public Health Association (APHA) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater”, 19th edition, unless otherwise specified.

Table 3.6 Analytical Methods to be applied to Water Quality Samples

| Determinant | Standard Method | Detection Limit |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Suspended Solids (mg/L) | APHA 2540 D | 2 mg/L |

- 3.2.19 For the purpose of QA/QC, all QA/QC results including blank, spike recovery, number of duplicate samples per batch, etc. reported in accordance with the requirement of HOKLAS or international accredited scheme. detection limits and accuracy submitted to EPD for approval prior to the commencement of monitoring programme. EPD may also request the laboratory to carry out analysis of known standards provided by EPD for quality assurance.
- 3.2.20 Additional duplicate samples may require by EPD for inter laboratory calibration. Remaining samples after analysis kept by the laboratory for 3 months in case repeat analysis is required. If in-house or non-standard methods are proposed, details of the method verification may also require submitting to EPD. In any circumstance, the sample testing has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes. The laboratory prepares to demonstrate the programmes to EPD or his representatives when requested.

MONITORING LOCATIONS

- 3.2.21 The monitoring station for water quality monitoring pertinent to the Project has been identified based on the approved EM&A Manual for HSKEPP of the Project. The location of the water quality monitoring stations is shown in **Table 3.7** and shown in **Figure 3.2**.

Table 3.7 Locations of Water Quality Monitoring Stations

| Monitoring Station No. | Description | Coordinates | |
|------------------------|--|-------------|----------|
| | | Easting | Northing |
| C1 | Control Station at upstream location of construction site for stream water quality monitoring during construction phase | 816278 | 834038 |
| M1 | Impact station at downstream location of construction site for stream water quality monitoring during construction phase | 816571 | 833970 |

MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE REPORTING MONTH

3.2.22 The schedule for water quality monitoring conducted in January 2026 is provided in **Appendix E**.

4 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

4.1.1 The Contractor has implemented environmental mitigation measures and requirements as stated in the EIA report, the EP and EM&A Manual. The implementation status of the environmental mitigation measures during the reporting period is summarized in **Appendix C**.

5 MONITORING RESULTS

5.1 CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING

- 5.1.1 According to the approved EM&A manual, 1-hour TSP impact monitoring should be conducted at the monitoring stations when Project-related major construction activities being undertaken within a radius of 500m from the monitoring stations.
- 5.1.2 The dust monitoring results for 1-hour TSP are summarised in **Table 5.1** and the monitoring data with the graphical plots are presented in **Appendix F**. The wind speed and wind direction data obtained from the Lau Fan Shan Automatic Wind Station operated by Hong Kong Observatory are presented in **Appendix G**.

Table 5.1 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Result in the Reporting Period

| ID | Average ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Range ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| AM1 | 120 | 46 – 194 | 263.0 | 500 |
| AM2 | 103 | 41 – 180 | 260.6 | 500 |
| AM3 ⁽¹⁾ | Not available | Not available | 263.4 | 500 |

Note:

(1) Air Quality Impact Monitoring at AM3 was temporarily suspended starting from 7 Nov 2024 and would be resumed after the completion of construction of the planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop use.

- 5.1.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring in the reporting month.
- 5.1.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix H**.
- 5.1.5 Major dust sources during the monitoring included construction dust, nearby traffic emission and other nearby construction sites.

5.2 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 5.2.1 According to the approved EM&A manual, water quality monitoring at designated locations are proposed to be carried out during the construction phase to monitor any sub-standard water discharge into the nearby water bodies from the HSKEPP.
- 5.2.2 The water quality monitoring results are summarized in **Table 5.2**. The monitoring data with graphical plots and their corresponding Action and Limit levels are provided in **Appendix I**.

Table 5.2 Summary of Water Quality Monitoring Results in the Reporting Period

| Parameters | Monitoring Station ID |
|---|-----------------------|
| | M1 |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | 7.3 – 8.7 |
| Turbidity (NTU) (Depth-averaged) | 5.2 – 9.8 |
| Suspended Solid (mg/L) (Depth-averaged) | 2 – 6 |

- 5.2.3 No Action and Limit Level exceedance were recorded for water quality monitoring in the reporting month.
- 5.2.4 The event and action plan is annexed in **Appendix H**.

5.3 WASTE MANAGEMENT

- 5.3.1 C&D materials and wastes sorting were carried out on site. Receptacles were available for C&D wastes and general refuse collection.
- 5.3.2 As advised by the Contractor, inert C&D materials were generated in the reporting month. No inert C&D materials were reused in other projects or in the Contract in the reporting month. General refuse and metal was disposed of and recycled in the reporting month. No yard waste, paper / cardboard packaging, plastic wastes and metals were generated and disposed in the reporting period. The waste flow table for different wastes in this reporting month is presented in **Table 5.3** and the cumulative waste flow table is annexed in **Appendix J**.

Table 5.3 Quantities of Waste Generated and Disposal Location in the Reporting Period

| January 2026 | Quantities of Waste | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Inert C&D Materials (in '000 kg) | | Chemical Waste (in '000 L) | Others, e.g. General Refuse (in '000 kg) | Non-inert C&D Materials | | | |
| | | | | | Recycled Materials | | | |
| | | | | | Paper (in '000 kg) | Plastics (in '000 kg) | Metals (in '000 kg) | Yard Waste (in '000 kg) |
| | 74.95 | 0 | 0 | 5.07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Disposal Locations | Tuen Mun Area 38 | Sorting Facilities | N/A | SENT Landfill | N/A | N/A | Local Recycler | N/A |

5.3.3 The Contractor is advised to properly maintain on site C&D materials and wastes collection, sorting and recording system and maximize reuse / recycle of C&D materials and wastes.

5.4 LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL

5.4.1 Site inspection was undertaken by the ET at least once every month during the construction period (i.e. 2 January 2026). Site inspection and implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures is provided in **Appendix C**. The observations and recommendations made during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

6 ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INSPECTION AND AUDIT

- 6.1.1 Site inspections were carried out on a weekly basis to monitor the implementation of proper environmental pollution control and mitigation measures for the Project. A summary of the mitigation measures implementation schedule is provided in **Appendix C**.
- 6.1.2 In the reporting month, 5 site inspections were carried out on 2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 January 2026. Joint inspections with the IEC, ER, the Contractor and the ET were conducted on 2 January 2026. No non-compliance was recorded during the site inspection. Details of observations and recommendations recorded during the site inspections are presented in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 Observation and Recommendations of Site Audit

| Parameters | Date | Observations and Recommendations | Follow-up |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Air Quality | N. A. | Nil | Nil |
| Noise | N. A. | Nil | Nil |
| Water Quality | N. A. | Nil | Nil |
| Waste/ Chemical Management | N. A. | Nil | Nil |
| Landscape & Visual | N. A. | Nil | Nil |
| Permits/ Licenses | N. A. | Nil | Nil |

- 6.1.3 No follow-up actions were requested by Contractor's ET and IEC during the site inspection.

7 ENVIRONMENTAL NON-CONFORMANCE

7.1 SUMMARY OF MONITORING EXCEEDANCES

- 7.1.1 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring in reporting month.
- 7.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring in reporting month.
- 7.1.3 Summary of Exceedance is provided in **Table 7.1**.

Table 7.1 Summary of Exceedance

| Environmental Parameter | | No. of Exceedance This Month | | Exceedance due to Project Construction |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | Action Level | Limit Level | |
| Air Quality (Construction Dust – 1-hour TSP) | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water Quality | Dissolved Oxygen | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Turbidity | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspended Solids | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 0 | 0 | 0 |

7.2 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL NON-COMPLIANCE

- 7.2.1 No environmental non-compliance was recorded in the reporting month. Summary of Environmental Complaints, Summon and Successful Prosecution are provided in **Table 7.2** and **Appendix K** respectively.

Table 7.2 Summary of Environmental Complaints, Summon and Successful Prosecution

| | Total No. Received in this Reporting Month | Cumulative No. Received since Project Commencement |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Environmental Complaints | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of Summons | 0 | 0 |

| | Total No. Received in this Reporting Month | Cumulative No. Received since Project Commencement |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| Successful Prosecutions | 0 | 0 |

8 FURTHER KEY ISSUES

8.1 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS

8.1.1 The major construction works between February 2026 to April 2026 will be:

Table 8.1 Major Construction for the Next Three Month

| Location | Site Activities |
|--|---|
| Contract No. DC/2024/11 Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1 – Civil Works | - Mobilization and Setup - Site Accommodation - Predrilling |

8.2 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

8.2.1 Potential environmental impacts arising from the above construction activities are mainly associated with construction dust, construction noise, water quality, waste management and landscape.

8.3 MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR THE NEXT MONTH

8.3.1 The tentative schedules for dust and water quality monitoring in February 2026 are provided in **Appendix E**.

9 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

9.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1.1 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for 1-hour TSP monitoring in reporting month.
 - 9.1.2 No Action and Limit Level exceedance was recorded for water quality monitoring in reporting month.
 - 9.1.3 5 nos. of environmental site inspections were carried out in January 2026. Recommendations for environmental site improvement were given to the Contractor for the deficiencies identified during the site audit.
 - 9.1.4 No environmental complaint, notification of summon and successful prosecution was received in the reporting month.
-

9.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9.2.1 According to the environmental site inspections performed in the reporting month, the following recommendations were provided:

Air Quality Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Noise Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Water Quality Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Chemical and Waste Management

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

Landscape & Visual Impact

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.


Permits/licenses

- No specific observation was identified in the reporting month.

FIGURES




Date : 2025/06/12
 Filename : \\corp\hk\Transition\DAT16\Site\ENV\7. Projects\Project_H321_ENV\2535852A - DSD HSK Polishing Plant ET_06 Drawings\Fig_HSK_20250612.dwg



- LEGEND :
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED HUNG SHUI KIU (HSK) EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT
 - PROPOSED UTILITY BRIDGE
 - PROPOSED RISING MAIN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - PROPOSED EMERGENCY BYPASS PIPE TO TIN SHUI WAI NULLAN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - EXISTING EFFLUENT DISCHARGE PIPE CONNECTED TO NWNT TUNNEL

| Rev | Description | By | Date |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | |
| Project title CONTRACT NO. HATS 07/2023 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT PHASE 1 | | | |
| Drawing title SITE LAYOUT | | | |
| Drawing no. FIGURE 2.1 | | Rev. 0 | |
| Drawn YT | Date JUN2025 | Checked VL | Approved AL |
| Scale 1:4000@A3 | | Status - | |
| © COPYRIGHT RESERVED | | | |

Date : 2025/06/12
 Filename : \\corp\hk\Transition\DAT16\Scd\=ENV=7. Projects\Project_H321_ENV\2535852A - DSD HSK Polishing Plant ET_06 Drawings\Fig_HSK_20250612.dwg



- LEGEND :
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED HUNG SHUI KIU (HSK) EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT
 - PROPOSED UTILITY BRIDGE
 - CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING STATION
 - PROPOSED RISING MAIN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - PROPOSED EMERGENCY BYPASS PIPE TO TIN SHUI WAI NULLAN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - EXISTING EFFLUENT DISCHARGE PIPE CONNECTED TO NWT TUNNEL


| Monitoring Station | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| AM1 | Tseung Kong Wai |
| AM2 | Farm House |
| AM3 | Planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop |

| Rev | Description | By | Date |
|---|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | |
| Project title CONTRACT NO. HATS 07/2023 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT PHASE 1 | | | |
| Drawing title LOCATIONS OF CONSTRUCTION DUST MONITORING STATIONS | | | |
| Drawing no. FIGURE 3.1 | | Rev. 0 | |
| Drawn YT | Date JUN2025 | Checked VL | Approved AL |
| Scale 1:4000@A3 | | Status — | |

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED



Date : 2025/11/14
 Filename : \\copr\hik\Transition\DAT\16\Soel=ENV\7. Projects\Project_H321_ENV\2535651-2535652A - DSD HSK Polishing Plant ET106 Drawings\Fig. HSK_20251114.dwg



- LEGEND :**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED HUNG SHUI KIU (HSK) EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT
 - PROPOSED UTILITY BRIDGE
 - WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION
 - RISING MAIN FOR EFFLUENT DISCHARGE
 - PROPOSED RISING MAIN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - PROPOSED EMERGENCY BYPASS PIPE TO TIN SHUI WAI NULLAN (BY OTHERS PROJECT)
 - EXISTING EFFLUENT DISCHARGE PIPE CONNECTED TO NWNT TUNNEL

| Monitoring Station | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| C1 | Control station at upstream location of construction site for stream water quality monitoring during construction phase |
| M1 | Impact Station at downstream location of construction site for stream water quality monitoring during construction phase |



| Rev | Description | By | Date |
|--|-------------|---------|----------|
| | | | |
| Project title CONTRACT NO. HATS 07/2023 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT PHASE 1 | | | |
| Drawing title LOCATIONS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PHASE | | | |
| Drawing no. | FIGURE 3.2 | Rev. | 1 |
| Drawn | Date | Checked | Approved |
| YJ | JUN2025 | VL | AL |
| Scale | 1:4000@A3 | Status | - |

APPENDIX A TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION
PROGRAMME

DC/2024/11 - Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1 - Civil Works [2026-01-31]

| Activity ID | Activity Name | Orig Dur | Early Start | Early Finish | Late Start | Late Finish | Total Float | TRA | Predecessors | Successors | Qtr 1 | | | | | Qtr 2 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Jan 7 | Feb 8 | Mar 9 | Apr 10 | May 11 | Apr 10 | May 11 | | | | | | |
| DC/2024/11 - Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1 - Civil V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PRELIMINARIES AND GENERAL REQUIREMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DESIGN AND ENGINEERING | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROCUREMENT, MANUFACTURING & DELIVERIES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mobilization and Setup | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| section 1 of the works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| section 2 of the works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 - Sludge Dewatering and Sewage Disinfection Building (SDSD) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SDSD - Foundation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7162 | SDSD: Re-use pile testing (DLT & Load Test) & report | 72 | 24-Nov-25 A | 22-Jan-26 A | 08-Apr-26 | 08-Apr-26 | | | 7108 | 7118, 7114, 7116 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7114 | SDSD: Mobilization of Piling Rig | 4 | 09-Feb-26 | 12-Feb-26 | 15-Apr-26 | 18-Apr-26 | 46 | | 7112, 7162, | 7116 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7116 | SDSD: Driven H-pile (630 nos DHP at 20~50 mpD @ 80lm/d/rig; 3 rigs) | 138 | 13-Feb-26 | 08-Aug-26 | 20-Apr-26 | 03-Oct-26 | 46 | 6 | 7114, 7108, | 7118, 7122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C3 - Combined Heat and Power Building (CHP) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CHP - Foundation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7310 | CHP: Predrilling (19 nos, 4 rigs; 5-day/no./rig) | 24 | 29-Jan-26 A | 04-Mar-26 | 11-Jan-27 | 04-Feb-27 | 275 | | 5016, 6172, | 7314, 7312 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7326 | CHP: Procurement for Pre-bored H-pile and material testing | 48 | 06-Feb-26 | 15-Apr-26 | 24-Mar-31 | 23-May-31 | 1498 | | 7316 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7312 | CHP: Predrilling Report | 24 | 12-Feb-26 | 18-Mar-26 | 22-Jan-27 | 25-Feb-27 | 275 | | 7310 | 7314, 7512 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7314 | CHP: Mobilization of Piling Rig | 4 | 10-Apr-26 | 14-Apr-26 | 12-May-27 | 17-May-27 | 319 | | 7312, 7310, | 7316 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7316 | CHP: Pre-bored H-pile (69 nos SHP at ~35mpD 3.5d/pile/rig @3rigs) | 85 | 15-Apr-26 | 27-Jul-26 | 18-May-27 | 26-Aug-27 | 319 | 4 | 7314, 6176 | 7318, 7326 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C5 - Sludge Digester No 1-4 & Sludge Digester Building (SDT & SDB) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SDT/SDB - Foundation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7512 | SDT/SDB: 1st stage Predrilling (19 nos, 4 rigs; 5-day/no./rig) | 24 | 19-Mar-26 | 20-Apr-26 | 26-Feb-27 | 25-Mar-27 | 275 | | 5016, AD_1B2, | 7510 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7510 | SDT/SDB: 1st stage Predrilling Report | 24 | 02-Apr-26 | 05-May-26 | 12-Mar-27 | 13-Apr-27 | 275 | | 7512 | 7524 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

- ◆ Current Milestone
- Critical Remaining Work
- Remaining Work
- Remaining Level of Effort


Contract No DC/2024/11 Three-month Rolling Programme

Project ID: DC202411-BL08
Baseline:
Layout: 3-mth Rolling Prog Filter: TASK filter: Three Monthly Rolling Programme.

| Date | Revision | Checked | Approved |
|-----------|--|---------|----------|
| 31-Oct-25 | Updated Programme (as of 31 Oct 25) | Nick Ho | TTS |
| 30-Nov-25 | Updated Programme BL06 (as of 30 N... | Nick Ho | TTS |
| 31-Dec-25 | Updated Programme BL07 (as of 31 D... | Nick Ho | TTS |
| 31-Jan-26 | Updated Programme BL08 (as of 31 Ja... | Nick Ho | TTS |

APPENDIX B PROJECT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

Appendix B Project Organization Structure



APPENDIX C PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION
SCHEDULE OF ENVIRONMENTAL
MITIGATION MEASURES

Implementation Schedule of Environmental Mitigation Measures

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|--------------------|-------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Air Quality Impact | | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.1, 3.9.1 | 2.4, 2.5 | Watering once every 2 hours on construction works areas to reduce dust emission. | To minimize dust impacts | Contractor | Construction sites with active works, exposed surface and unpaved road | Construction Phase | Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO); Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation; HKAQO; Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO-TM) | N/A |
| 3.9.1 | 2.4, 2.5 | Dust suppression measures stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation and good site practices listed below shall be carried out to further minimize construction dust impact: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of regular watering to reduce dust emissions from exposed site surfaces and unpaved roads, particularly during dry weather. • Use of frequent watering for particularly dusty construction areas and areas close to ASRs. • Side enclosure and covering of any aggregate or dusty material storage piles to reduce emissions. Where this is not practicable owing | To minimize dust impacts | Contractor | Contractor | Construction sites | Air Pollution Control Ordinance (APCO); Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation; HKAQO; Technical Memorandum on Environmental Impact Assessment Process (EIAO-TM) | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | <p>to frequent usage, watering shall be applied to aggregate fines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Open stockpiles shall be avoided or covered. Where possible, prevent placing dusty material storage piles near ASRs. • Tarpaulin covering of all dusty vehicle loads transported to, from and between site locations. • Establishment and use of vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the exit points of the site. • Provision of wind shield and dust extraction units or similar dust mitigation measures at the loading area of barging point, and use of water sprinklers at the loading area where dust generation is likely during the loading process of loose material, particularly in dry seasons/ periods. • Provision of not less than 2.4m high hoarding from ground level along site boundary where adjoins a road, streets or other accessible to the public except for a site entrance or exit. • Imposition of speed controls for vehicles on site haul roads. | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------------------|-----------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where possible, routing of vehicles and positioning of construction plant should be at the maximum possible distance from ASRs. Instigation of an environmental monitoring and auditing program to monitor the construction process in order to enforce controls and modify method of work if dusty conditions arise. | | | | | | |
| 3.9.1 | 2.4, 2.5 | Timely application of temporary electricity and water supply would be made and electric vehicles would be adopted in the Project | To minimize the exhaust emission from NRMMS | Contractor | Construction sites | Construction Phase | DEVB TC(W) No. 13/2020 – <i>Timely Application of Temporary Electricity and Water Supply for Public Works Contracts and Wider Use of Electric Vehicles in Public Works Contracts</i> | I |
| Noise Impact | | | | | | | | |
| Nil | | | | | | | | |
| Water Quality Impact | | | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | The site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be followed as far as practicable to minimise surface run-off and the chance of erosion. Surface run-off from construction sites should be discharged into storm drains via adequately designed sand / silt removal facilities such as sand traps, silt traps and | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO); EIAO-TM, Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee (ProPECC) Practice Note (PN) 1/94 | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | sedimentation basins. Channels, earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to properly direct stormwater to such silt removal facilities. Perimeter channels at site boundaries should be provided as necessary to intercept storm run-off from outside the site so that it will not wash across the site. Catchpits and perimeter channels should be constructed in advance of site formation works and earthworks. | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Silt removal facilities, channels and manholes should be maintained and the deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly (as well as at the onset of and after each rainstorm) to prevent overflows and localised flooding. Before disposal at the public fill reception facilities, the deposited silt and grit should be solicited in such a way that it can be contained and delivered by dump truck instead of tanker truck. Any practical options for the diversion and realignment of drainage should comply with both engineering and environmental requirements in order to provide adequate hydraulic capacity of all drains | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Construction works should be programmed to minimise soil excavation in the wet season (i.e. April to September). If soil excavation cannot be avoided in | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | these months or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, temporarily exposed slope surfaces should be covered e.g. by tarpaulin, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Intercepting channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest / edge of excavation) to prevent storm run-off from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place in such a way that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of rainstorm | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Earthworks final surfaces should be well compacted and the subsequent permanent work or surface protection should be carried out immediately after the final surfaces are formed to prevent erosion caused by rainstorms. Appropriate drainage like intercepting channels should be provided where necessary. | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Constructor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | N/A |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of rainwater into trenches. If excavation of trenches in the wet season is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections. Rainwater pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Construction materials (e.g. aggregates, sand and fill material) on sites should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris from getting into the drainage system, and to prevent storm run-off from getting into foul sewers. Discharge of surface run-off into foul sewers must always be prevented in order not to unduly overload the foul sewerage system. | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Constructor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Water used in ground boring and drilling for site investigation or rock / soil anchoring should as far as practicable be re-circulated after sedimentation. When there is a need for final disposal, the wastewater should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | All vehicles and plants should be cleaned before they leave a construction site to minimise the deposition of earth, mud and debris on roads. A wheel washing bay should be provided at every site exit if practicable and washwater should have sand and silt settled out or | To minimize impact from construction site run-off and general construction activities | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; ProPECC PN 1/94 | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | removed before discharging into storm drains. The section of construction road between the wheel washing bay and the public road should be paved with backfill to reduce vehicle tracking of soil and to prevent site run-off from entering public road drains. | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Good site practices should be adopted to remove rubbish and litter from construction sites so as to prevent the rubbish and litter from spreading from the site area. It is recommended to clean the construction sites on a regular basis. | To minimize impact from construction site | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO) | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | There is a need to apply to EPD for a discharge licence for discharge of effluent from the construction site under the WPCO. The discharge quality must meet the requirements specified in the discharge licence. All the runoff and wastewater generated from the works areas should be treated so that it satisfies all the standards listed in the TM-DSS. The beneficial uses of the treated effluent for other on-site activities such as dust suppression, wheel washing and general cleaning etc., can minimise water consumption and reduce the effluent discharge volume. If monitoring of the treated effluent quality from the works areas is required during the construction phase of the | To minimize impact from construction site | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM; Technical Memorandum for Effluents Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, Inland and Coastal Waters (TM-DSS) | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | Project, the monitoring should be carried out in accordance with the relevant WPCO licence. | | | | | | |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | The practices outlined in ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 "Protection of natural streams / rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works" should also be adopted where applicable to minimise the water quality impacts on natural streams or surface water systems. | To minimize impact from construction site | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, ETWB TC (Works) No. 5/2005 | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Contractor must register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be produced from the construction activities. The Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) and its subsidiary regulations in particular the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation should be observed and complied with for control of chemical wastes. | To minimize impact from accidental spillage | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, WDO | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Any service shop and maintenance facilities should be located on hard standings within a bunded area, and sumps and oil interceptors should be provided. Maintenance of vehicles and equipment involving activities with potential leakage and spillage should only be undertaken within the areas appropriately equipped to control these discharges. | To minimize impact from accidental spillage | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Disposal of chemical wastes should be carried out in compliance with the WDO. The "Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes" published under the Waste Disposal Ordinance should be followed to avoid leakage or spillage of chemicals. | To minimize impact from accidental spillage | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM, WDO | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Sufficient chemical toilets should be provided in the works area, with a licensed waste collector employed to clean the chemical toilets on a regular basis. | To minimise impact from workforces sewage effluent | Constructor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM | I |
| 5.7.1 | 4.6.7 | Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the surrounding environment. | To minimise impact from workforces sewage effluent | Contractor | Construction Sites / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | WPCO; EIAO-TM | N/A |
| Waste Management Implication | | | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p><u>Good Site Practices</u> Recommendations for good site practices during the construction phase include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nomination of an approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, and making arrangements for collection of all wastes generated at the site and effective disposal to an appropriate facility; Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical waste handling procedures; | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | Waste Disposal Ordinance (WDO) | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provision of sufficient waste reception/ disposal points, of a suitable vermin-proof design that minimises windblown litter; Arrangement for regular collection of waste for transport off-site and final disposal; Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; Regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be proposed; and <p>Preparation of a WMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/2005 and submit to the Engineer for approval.</p> | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p><u>Waste Reduction Measures</u> Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Segregate and store different types of construction related waste in different containers, skips or | To minimize waste generation | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | WDO | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | <p>stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide separate labelled bins to segregate recyclable waste such as aluminium cans from other general refuse generated by the work force, and to encourage collection by individual collectors; • Any unused chemicals or those with remaining functional capacity shall be recycled; • Maximising the use of reusable steel formwork to reduce the amount of C&D materials; • Prior to disposal of C&D waste, it is recommended that wood, steel and other metals shall be separated for re-use and/or recycling to minimise the quantity of waste to be disposed of at landfill; • Adopt proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage to, or contamination of, construction materials; • Plan the delivery and stock of construction materials carefully to minimize the amount of surplus waste generated; • Adopt pre-cast construction method instead of cast-in- | | | | | | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | <p>situ method for construction of concrete structures as much as possible;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimise over ordering of concrete, mortars and cement grout by doing careful check before ordering; and <p>Provide training to workers on the importance of appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling.</p> | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p><u>Storage of Waste</u> Recommendations to minimise the impacts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste, such as soil, should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment, thus minimizing the potential of pollution; Maintain and clean storage areas routinely; Stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; and <p>Different locations should be designated to stockpile each material to enhance reuse.</p> | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | - | I |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p><u>Collection of Waste</u> Licensed waste haulers should be employed for the collection</p> | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | WDO; Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | <p>and transportation of waste generated. The following measures should be enforced to minimise the potential adverse impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove waste in a timely manner; • Waste collectors should only collect wastes prescribed by their permits; • Impacts during transportation, such as dust and odour, should be mitigated by the use of covered trucks or in enclosed containers; • Obtain relevant waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities; • Dispose of waste at licensed waste disposal facilities; and • Maintain records of quantities of waste generated, recycled and disposed. | | | | | Waste) Regulation; Land (Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p><u>Transportation of Waste</u> In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at PFRFs and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be established in accordance with DEVB TCW No. 6/2010. A recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed, including the disposal sites, should also be set up. Warning signs should be put up to remind the designated</p> | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Transportation Route of Waste / Construction Phase | Construction Phase | DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2010 | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | disposal sites. CCTV should be installed at the vehicular entrance and exit of the site as additional measures to prevent fly-tipping. | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p>The excavated material arising from site formation and foundation works should be reused on-site as backfilling material and for landscaping works as far as practicable. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A WMP, which becomes part of the EMP, should be prepared in accordance with ETWB TCW No.19/2005; • A recording system for the amount of wastes generated, recycled and disposed (including the disposal sites) should be adopted for easy tracking; and <p>In order to monitor the disposal of C&D materials at public filling facilities and landfills and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system should be adopted (refer to DEVB TCW 06/2010).</p> | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | WDO; ETWB TCW No.19/2005; ETWB TCW No. 6/2010 | N/A |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | It is recommended that specific areas should be provided by the Contractors for sorting and to provide temporary storage areas (if required) for the sorted materials. Control measures for temporary stockpiles on-site | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | ETWB TCW No.19/2005 | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | <p>should be taken in order to minimize the noise, generation of dust and pollution of water. These measures include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface of stockpiled soil should be regularly wetted with water especially during dry season; • Disturbance of stockpile soil should be minimised; • Stockpiled soil should be properly covered with tarpaulin especially when heavy storms are predicted; and <p>Stockpiling areas should be enclosed where space is available.</p> | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | <p>The Contactor should prepare and implement an EMP in accordance with ETWB TCW No. 19/2005, which describes the arrangements for avoidance, reuse, recovery, recycling, storage, collection, treatment and disposal of different categories of waste to be generated from construction activities. Such a management plan should incorporate site-specific factors, such as the designation of areas for segregation and temporary storage of reusable and recyclable materials. The EMP should be submitted to the Engineer for approval. The</p> | <p>To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management</p> | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | ETWB TCW No.19/2005 | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|--------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | Contractor should implement waste management practices in the EMP throughout the construction stage of the Project. The EMP should be reviewed regularly and updated by the Contractor, preferably on a monthly basis. | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1 | The Contractor would be responsible for devising a system to work for on-site sorting of C&D materials and promptly removing all sorted and process materials arising from the construction activities to minimise temporary stockpiling on-site. The system should be included in the EMP identifying the source of generation, estimated quantity, arrangement for on-site sorting, collection, temporary storage areas and frequency of collection by recycling Contractors or frequency of removal off-site. | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction Phase | - | N/A |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1, 5.2.2 | Suitable containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical waste, such as explosive, flammable, oxidizing, irritant, toxic, harmful, corrosive, etc. The Contractor shall employ a licensed collector to transport | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor / Operator | Construction Sites | Construction and Operation Phases | ETWB TC(W) 19/2005; TC(W) 6/2010; WDO; Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation; Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|---------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | and dispose of the chemical wastes, to the licensed CWTC, or other licensed facilities, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation | | | | | | |
| 6.6.1 | 5.2.1, 5.2.2 | It is recommended to place clearly labelled recycling bins at designated locations with convenient access. Other general refuse should be separated from chemical and industrial waste by providing separated bins or skips for storage to maximise the recyclable volume. A reputable licensed waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse on a daily basis to minimise odour, pest and litter impacts. | To avoid and minimize impacts arising from waste management | Contractor / Operator | Construction Sites | Construction and Operation Phases | Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap.132) | I |
| Land Contamination | | | | | | | | |
| 7.8.1 | 6.1 | Site re-appraisal should be conducted for the identified concerned areas prior to development of the sites in order to update findings of the site appraisal (e.g. change in land use and additional hotspots) and the sampling and testing requirements for SI works. In addition, re-appraisal would be required for the other remaining areas of the proposed HSKEPP site to assess the latest land uses and site conditions. Supplementary CAP(s), incorporating findings of the site | To control land remediation work | Project Proponent / Consultant / Contractor under HSK/HT NDA project | Proposed HSKEPP site / Prior to construction / development works | Design and Construction Phases | Guidance Note for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation; Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land; Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for Contaminated Land Management | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | re-appraisal for the entire proposed HSKEPP site and the updated sampling and testing strategy, should be prepared and submitted to EPD for approval prior to conducting any SI works. SI works should then be carried out according to the EPD approved supplementary CAP(s). After completion of the SI works, CAR(s) would be prepared to present findings of the SI works. If contamination has been identified, RAP(s) would be prepared to recommend specific remediation measures. Upon completion of the remediation works, if any, RR(s) would also be prepared to demonstrate that the clean-up works are adequate. The CAR, RAP and RR would be submitted to EPD for approval prior to commencement of any construction / development works. | | | | | | |
| 7.8.3 | 6.2 | <p>The mitigation measures will be recommended in the RAP and would typically include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excavation profiles must be properly designed and executed with attention to the relevant requirements for environment, health and safety; Excavation shall be carried out during dry season as far | To control land remediation work | Contractor under the HSK/HT NDA project | Proposed HSKEPP site / During remediation works and prior to construction / development works | Construction Phase | Guidance Note for Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation; Practice Guide for Investigation and Remediation of Contaminated Land; Guidance Manual for Use of Risk-based Remediation Goals for | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|----------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | <p>as possible to minimise contaminated runoff from contaminated soils;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supply of suitable clean backfill material (or treated soil) after excavation; • Stockpiling site(s) shall be lined with impermeable sheeting and bunded. Stockpiles shall be fully covered by impermeable sheeting to reduce dust emission. If this is not practicable due to frequent usage, regular watering shall be applied. However, watering shall be avoided on stockpiles of contaminated soil to minimise contaminated runoff. • Vehicles containing any excavated materials shall be suitably covered to limit potential dust emissions or contaminated wastewater run-off, and truck bodies and tailgates shall be sealed to prevent any discharge during transport or during wet conditions; • Speed control for the trucks carrying contaminated materials shall be enforced; • Vehicle wheel and body washing facilities at the site's exist points shall be established and used; and | | | | | Contaminated Land Management | |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|--|-----------|--|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | Pollution control measures for air emissions (e.g. from biopile blower and handling of cement), noise emissions (e.g. from blower or earthmoving equipment), and water discharges (e.g. runoff control from treatment facility) shall be implemented and complied with relevant regulations and guidelines. | | | | | | |
| Ecology (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | | |
| Nil | | | | | | | | |
| Landscape and Visual Impact (Construction Phase) | | | | | | | | |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Preservation of Existing Vegetation</u> All the existing vegetation and trees to be retained and not to be affected by the Project shall be carefully protected during construction accordance with DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 - Tree Preservation and the latest Guidelines on Tree Preservation during Development issued by GLTMS of DEVB. Any existing vegetation in landscaped areas and natural terrain not to be affected by the Project shall be carefully preserved. | To preserve existing Vegetation. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design and Construction Phases | DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 - Tree Preservation and the latest Guidelines on Tree Preservation during Development issued by GLTMS of DEVB, Guidelines for Tree Risk Assessment and Management Arrangement issued by DEVB | I |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Minimize Disturbance on Watercourses</u> The design shall minimize disturbance on watercourses, particularly for natural watercourse. Good site practices as described in ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 "Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse | To minimize the disturbance to watercourses as far as practicable. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design and Construction phase | ETWB TCW No. 5/2005 "Protection of natural streams/rivers from adverse impacts arising from construction works" | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|------------|-----------|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | impacts arising from construction works" shall also be adopted to avoid any pollution entering the watercourses nearby where applicable. Should temporarily or indirect disturbance on watercourse is unavoidable, it shall be reinstated to the satisfaction of relevant Government Departments. | | | | | | |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Management of Construction Activities and Facilities</u> The facilities and activities at works sites and areas, which include site office, temporary storage areas, temporary works etc., shall be carefully managed and controlled on the height, deposition and arrangement to minimise any potential adverse landscape and visual impacts. | To minimise any potential adverse landscape and visual impacts. | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction phase | - | I |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Reinstatement of Temporarily Disturbed Landscape Areas</u> All hard and soft landscape areas disturbed temporarily during construction due to temporary excavations, temporary works sites and works areas shall be reinstated to equal or better quality, to the satisfaction of the relevant Government Departments. | To reinstate to equal or better quality of temporarily disturbed landscape areas. | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction phase | - | N/A |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Control of Night-time Lighting Glare</u> Any lighting provision of the construction works at night shall be carefully control to prevent light overspill to the nearby | To prevent light overspill to the nearby VSRs and into the sky. | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction phase | "Guidelines on Industry Best Practices for External Lighting Installations" | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|------------|-----------|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| | | VSRs and into the sky. Relevant best practices as suggested in the "Guidelines on Industry Best Practices for External Lighting Installations" promulgated by ENB shall be adopted. | | | | | promulgated by ENB | |
| Table 9.11 | 8.2 | <u>Erection of Decorative Screen Hoarding</u> Decorative Hoarding, which is compatible with the surrounding natural settings, shall be erected during construction to minimise the potential landscape and visual impacts due to the construction works and activities. | To minimise the potential landscape and visual impacts due to the construction works and activities. | Contractor | Construction Sites | Construction phase | - | N/A |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Compensatory Tree Planting for Loss of Existing Trees</u> Any trees to be removed under the Project shall be compensated in accordance with DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 - Tree Preservation. The compensatory plantings shall be realistic, practicable and sustainable with a holistic consideration to balance the quantity and quality of tree planting and follow the "right tree for the right place" principles. The proposed planting species shall be made reference to the Greening Master Plan issued by CEDD and the Street Tree Selection Guide issued by DEVB. Approximately 250 heavy standard trees are proposed within site under OM1, the exact number and location subject to | To enhance ecological value and improve overall value of landscape setting. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 - Tree Preservation, GEO Publication No. 1/2011, the Greening Master Plan issued by CEDD, the Street Tree Selection Guide issued by DEVB and DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features | I |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|------------|-----------|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| | | the detailed design at design and construction stage of this Project. | | | | | | |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Roadside and Amenity Planting</u> Roadside amenity trees and understory planting to be planted along EVA and access road within HSKEPP, as green buffers for the new proposed structures. The proposed planting species shall be made reference to the Greening Master Plan issued by CEDD and the Street Tree Selection Guide issued by DEVB. | To maximize the greening effect by shade-tolerant tree or shrub species. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | DEVB TC(W) No. 4/2020 - Tree Preservation, GEO Publication No. 1/2011, the Greening Master Plan issued by CEDD, the Street Tree Selection Guide issued by DEVB and DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features | N/A |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Sensitive and Aesthetically Pleasing Design of Aboveground Structures</u> Sensitive and aesthetically pleasing design as regard to the form, material and finishes shall be incorporated to the proposed above-ground structures e.g. effluent polishing plant, etc. so as to minimise any potential adverse landscape and visual impacts, and to blend in the structures to the adjacent landscape and visual context. | To minimise any potential adverse landscape and visual impact. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | - | N/A |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Provision of Buffer Planting</u> Buffer Planting shall be provided at the perimeter of the plant to screen and soften the proposed Aboveground Structures. For | To maximize the greening effect by shade-tolerant tree or shrub species. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | GEO Publication No. 1/2011, the Greening Master Plan issued by CEDD, the Street | N/A |

| EIA Ref. | EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures and Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measure? | Location of the Measures | When to implement the measure? | Requirements | Implementation Status |
|------------|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| | | planting to be proposed on slopes, the guidelines for planting stipulated in GEO Publication No. 1/2011 will be followed. | And soften the hard structural elements. | | | | Tree Selection Guide issued by DEVB and DEVB TC(W) No. 6/2015 - Maintenance of Vegetation and Hard Landscape Features | |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Provision of Green Roof</u> Green Roof shall be proposed to enhance the landscape quality of the Aboveground Structures including Primary Sedimentation Tanks and mitigate any potential adverse visual impact on adjacent VSRs. The extent of roof greening shall be in accordance with DEVB TC(W) No. 3/2012 – Site Coverage of Greenery for Government Building Projects | To maximize the greening effect by roof top greening | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | DEVB TC(W) No. 3/2012 – Site Coverage of Greenery for Government Building Projects | N/A |
| Table 9.12 | 8.2 | <u>Control of Night-time Lighting Glare</u> All the night time lighting shall be avoided except for safety purpose. No light glare shall illuminate directly outside HSKEPP. Relevant best practices as suggested in the “Guidelines on Industry Best Practices for External Lighting Installations” promulgated by ENB shall be adopted. | To prevent light overspill to the nearby VSRs and into the sky. | Project Proponent/ Contractor | Construction Sites | Design / Construction and Operation Phases | “Guidelines on Industry Best Practices for External Lighting Installations” promulgated by ENB | N/A |

Legends:

I = implemented;

X= not implemented;

@ = partially implemented;

N/A = not applicable

APPENDIX D CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES OF
EQUIPMENT



Enovative Environmental Service Limited

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the item under calibration has been calibrated by corresponding calibrated High Volume Sampler and the filter paper is weighted by HOKLAS laboratory.

Instrument: Handheld TSP meter
Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM520
Serial No.: 5201735004
Date of Calibration: 29 September, 2025
Date of Next Calibration : 29 September, 2026

ISSUING ORGANISATION

Address

Enovative Environmental Service Limited
Flat 23, 6/F, Block C, Goldfield Industrial Centre
1 Sui Wo Road
Shatin, N.T.
Hong Kong

Phone: 852-2242 1020
Fax: 852-3691 9240
Email: info@eno.com.hk



Thomas

Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas
Manager




Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM520
Serial No.: 5201735004
HVS No.: A12-TSP-102
Date of Calibration: 29 September, 2025
Date of next Calibration: 29 September, 2026

Calibration Record

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| HVS - TSP (mg/m ³) | 0.0243 | 0.0377 | 0.0783 | 0.0443 |
| TSI AM520 (mg/m ³) | 0.0256 | 0.0391 | 0.0757 | 0.0482 |

| | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| K Factor : | 0.9174 |
| Correlation Coefficient : | 0.992 |



*** Filter paper being used in the calibration : 209940, 209941 ,209942, 209943
Those filter papers are weighted by HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.)



Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas
Manager



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

It is certified that the item under calibration has been calibrated by corresponding calibrated High Volume Sampler and the filter paper is weighted by HOKLAS laboratory.

Instrument: Handheld TSP meter
Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM520
Serial No.: 5202345003
Date of Calibration: 29 September, 2025
Date of Next Calibration : 29 September, 2026

ISSUING ORGANISATION

Address

Enovative Environmental Service Limited
Flat 23, 6/F, Block C, Goldfield Industrial Centre
1 Sui Wo Road
Shatin, N.T.
Hong Kong

Phone: 852-2242 1020
Fax: 852-3691 9240
Email: info@eno.com.hk



Thomas

Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas
Manager




Enovative Environmental Service Limited

Brand Name: TSI
Model No.: AM520
Serial No.: 5202345003
HVS No.: A12-TSP-102
Date of Calibration: 29 September, 2025
Date of next Calibration: 29 September, 2026

Calibration Record

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| HVS - TSP (mg/m ³) | 0.0243 | 0.0377 | 0.0783 | 0.0443 |
| TSI AM520 (mg/m ³) | 0.0269 | 0.0404 | 0.0812 | 0.0439 |

| | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| K Factor : | 1.009 |
| Correlation Coefficient : | 0.9955 |



*** Filter paper being used in the calibration : 209940, 209941, 209942, 209943
Those filter papers are weighted by HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.)



Mr Wong Siu Ho, Thomas
Manager



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre,

1 - 3 Wing Yip Street,

Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong

T: +852 2610 1044

F: +852 2610 2021

www.alsglobal.com

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT: MR IVAN LEUNG
CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD
ADDRESS: 11/F., CHUNG SHUN KNITTING CENTRE,
1-3 WING YIP STREET, KWAI CHUNG, N.T.

WORK ORDER: HK2550034
SUB-BATCH: 0
LABORATORY: HONG KONG
DATE RECEIVED: 18-Nov-2025
DATE OF ISSUE: 22-Nov-2025

GENERAL COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The validity of equipment/ meter performance only applies to the result(s) stated in the report.

This report superseded any previous report(s) with same work order number.

EQUIPMENT INFORMATION

Equipment information (Brand name, Model No., Serial No. and Equipment No.) is provided by client.

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter

Service Nature: Performance Check

Scope: Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Value, Turbidity, Salinity and Temperature

Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [ProDSS]

Serial No./ Equipment No.: [15M101244/16H104233]/ [N/A]

Date of Calibration: 18-November-2025

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics

This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory.

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK2550034
SUB-BATCH: 0
DATE OF ISSUE: 22-Nov-2025
CLIENT: ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LTD

Equipment Type: Multifunctional Meter
Brand Name/ Model No.: [YSI]/ [ProDSS]
Serial No./ Equipment No.: [15M101244/16H104233]/ [N/A]
Date of Calibration: 18-November-2025 Date of Next Calibration: 18-February-2026

PARAMETERS:

Conductivity

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 2510B

| Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Displayed Reading ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) | Tolerance (%) |
|--|---|---------------|
| 146.9 | 140.7 | -4.2 |
| 6667 | 6796 | +1.9 |
| 12890 | 12892 | +0.0 |
| 58670 | 61564 | +4.9 |
| | Tolerance Limit (%) | ± 10.0 |

Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500O: G

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Displayed Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2.36 | 2.20 | -0.16 |
| 5.89 | 5.79 | -0.10 |
| 7.54 | 7.53 | -0.01 |
| | Tolerance Limit (mg/L) | ± 0.20 |


pH Value

Method Ref: APHA (23rd edition), 4500H: B

| Expected Reading (pH unit) | Displayed Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 4.0 | 4.03 | +0.03 |
| 7.0 | 7.08 | +0.08 |
| 10.0 | 9.91 | -0.09 |
| | Tolerance Limit (pH unit) | ± 0.20 |

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu, Iris
Assistant Manager - Inorganics



專業化驗有限公司
QUALITY PRO TEST-CONSULT LIMITED

Unit 10, 5/F, Wah Wai Centre, 38-40 Au Pui Wan St., Fotan, Hong Kong
Email: info@qualityprotest.com; Website: www.qualityprotest.com
Tel: (852) 3956 8717; Fax: (852) 3956 3928

REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BE100130
Date of Issue : 10 November 2025
Page No. : 1 of 2

PART A - CUSTOMER INFORMATION

Enovative Environmental Service Ltd.
Flat 2207, Yu Fun House Yu Chui Court, Shatin
New Territories (HK) Hong Kong

PART B - SAMPLE INFORMATION

Name of Equipment : YSI ProDSS Multi Parameters
Manufacturer : YSI
Serial Number : 16H104233
Date of Received : 31 October 2025
Date of Calibration : 31 October 2025
Date of Next Calibration : 30 January 2026
Request No. : D-BE100130

PART C - REFERENCE METHODS/ DOCUMENTS FOR THE CALIBRATION

| Test Parameter | Reference Method |
|------------------|---|
| pH value | APHA 21e 4500-H ⁺ B |
| Temperature | Section 6 of international Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide no. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure |
| Salinity | APHA 21c 2520 B |
| Dissolved oxygen | APHA 23e 4500-O G (Membrane Electrode Method) |
| Turbidity | APHA 21e 2130 B (Nephelometric Method) |
| Conductivity | APHA 21e 2510 B |

PART D - CALIBRATION RESULT

(1) pH value

| Target (pH unit) | Display Reading (pH unit) | Tolerance (pH unit) | Result |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 4.00 | 3.97 | -0.03 | Satisfactory |
| 7.42 | 7.47 | 0.05 | Satisfactory |
| 10.01 | 9.98 | -0.03 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of pH value should be less than ± 0.2 (pH unit)

(2) Temperature

| Reading of Ref. thermometer (°C) | Display Reading (°C) | Tolerance (°C) | Result |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 33.5 | 33.5 | 0 | Satisfactory |
| 22.0 | 22.3 | 0.3 | Satisfactory |
| 14.5 | 14.7 | 0.2 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of Temperature should be less than ± 2.0 (°C)


(3) Salinity


| Expected Reading (g/L) | Display Reading (g/L) | Tolerance (%) | Result |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 10 | 9.58 | -4.2 | Satisfactory |
| 20 | 19.61 | -2.0 | Satisfactory |
| 30 | 28.27 | -5.8 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of Salinity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

--- CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE ---

AUTHORIZED
SIGNATORY:


FUNG Yuen-ching
Laboratory Manager



REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/ CALIBRATION

Test Report No. : R-BE100130
Date of Issue : 10 November 2025
Page No. : 2 of 2

(4) Dissolved oxygen

| Expected Reading (mg/L) | Display Reading (mg/L) | Tolerance (mg/L) | Result |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 8.61 | 8.68 | 0.07 | Satisfactory |
| 5.31 | 5.28 | -0.03 | Satisfactory |
| 3.52 | 3.40 | -0.12 | Satisfactory |
| 0.10 | 0.07 | -0.03 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of Dissolved oxygen should be less than ± 0.5 (mg/L)

(5) Turbidity

| Expected Reading (NTU) | Display Reading (NTU) | Tolerance ^(a) (%) | Result |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 0 | 0.24 | - | Satisfactory |
| 10 | 9.72 | -2.8 | Satisfactory |
| 20 | 18.76 | -6.2 | Satisfactory |
| 100 | 94.66 | -5.3 | Satisfactory |
| 800 | 731.46 | -8.6 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of Turbidity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

(6) Conductivity

| Expected Reading ($\mu\text{S/cm}$ at 25°C) | Display Reading ($\mu\text{S/cm}$ at 25°C) | Tolerance (%) | Result |
|---|--|-----------------|--------------|
| 146.9 | 158.4 | 7.8 | Satisfactory |
| 1412 | 1327 | -6.0 | Satisfactory |
| 12890 | 11842 | -8.1 | Satisfactory |
| 58670 | 54260 | -7.5 | Satisfactory |
| 111900 | 103327 | -7.7 | Satisfactory |

Tolerance of Conductivity should be less than ± 10.0 (%)

^(a) For 0 NTU, Display Reading should be less than 1 NTU

Remark(s)

- The "Date of Next Calibration" is recommended according to best practice principals as practiced by QPT or quoted from relevant international standards.
- The results relate only to the calibrated equipment as received
- The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.
- "Displayed Reading" denotes the figure shown on item under calibration/ checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.
- The "Tolerance Limit" mentioned is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by Quality Pro Test-Consult Ltd. or quoted from relevant international standards.

--- END OF REPORT ---



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

*is accredited by the Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) to ISO/IEC 17025:2017
for performing specific laboratory activities as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of*
獲香港認可處根據ISO/IEC 17025:2017認可
進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定實驗所活動

Environmental Testing
環境測試

*This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and
the implementation of a management system relevant to laboratory operation
(see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).*
此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並
實施一套與實驗所運作相關的管理體系
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of HKAS is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

SHUM Wai-leung, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 沈偉良
Issue Date : 28 February 2020
簽發日期：二零二零年二月二十八日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 066**
註冊號碼：



Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

APPENDIX E MONITORING SCHEDULES

**DSD Contract NO. HATS 07/2023, HSK Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1
Environmental Impact Monitoring Schedule (January 2026)**

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| January 2026 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | Water Quality - Stream |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | Water Quality - Stream | |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | Water Quality - Stream | | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | |

Remarks:

- 1) The Monitoring Schedule will be changed in the case of unforeseen circumstances (e.g. adverse weather etc).
- 2) Stream Water Quality Impact Monitoring will be conducted at C1 and M1.
- 3) Air Quality Impact Monitoring will be conducted at AM1 and AM2.
- 4) The Air Quality Impact Monitoring at AM3 is suspended from 7 Nov 2024 due to the construction works of other project at AM3.

**DSD Contract NO. HATS 07/2023, HSK Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1
Tentative Environmental Impact Monitoring Schedule (February 2026)**

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| February 2026 | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | Water Quality - Stream |
| 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | Water Quality - Stream | |
| 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | | | | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality |
| 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream | | Water Quality - Stream Air Quality | |

Remarks:

- 1) The Monitoring Schedule will be changed in the case of unforeseen circumstances (e.g. adverse weather etc).
- 2) Stream Water Quality Impact Monitoring will be conducted at C1 and M1.
- 3) Air Quality Impact Monitoring will be conducted at AM1 and AM2.
- 4) The Air Quality Impact Monitoring at AM3 is suspended from 7 Nov 2024 due to the construction works of other project at AM3.
- 5) As advised by the Contractor, there will not be any site works during Lunar New Year holidays (from 17 to 19 February 2026). Therefore, Water quality monitoring on 18 February 2026 will be cancelled.

APPENDIX F AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS
AND THEIR GRAPHICAL
PRESENTATIONS

Air Quality Monitoring Results

1-hour TSP Monitoring Results for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

AM1 - Tseung Kong Wai

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Date | Weather | Start Time | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | Action Level | Limit Level | Exceedance (Y/N) |
| 02-Jan-26 | Sunny | 8:00 | 54 | 52 | 46 | 263.0 | 500.0 | N |
| 08-Jan-26 | Sunny | 8:00 | 80 | 64 | 57 | | | N |
| 14-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 190 | 159 | 141 | | | N |
| 19-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 130 | 125 | 122 | | | N |
| 24-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 136 | 127 | 137 | | | N |
| 30-Jan-26 | Cloudy | 8:00 | 154 | 194 | 192 | | | N |
| Average | | | 120.0 | | | | | |
| Min | | | 46.0 | | | | | |
| Max | | | 194.0 | | | | | |

AM2 - Farm House

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Date | Weather | Start Time | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | Action Level | Limit Level | Exceedance (Y/N) |
| 02-Jan-26 | Sunny | 8:00 | 41 | 50 | 55 | 260.6 | 500.0 | N |
| 08-Jan-26 | Sunny | 8:00 | 83 | 68 | 53 | | | N |
| 14-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 117 | 122 | 108 | | | N |
| 19-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 95 | 96 | 92 | | | N |
| 24-Jan-26 | Fine | 8:00 | 139 | 139 | 150 | | | N |
| 30-Jan-26 | Cloudy | 8:00 | 103 | 159 | 180 | | | N |
| Average | | | 102.8 | | | | | |
| Min | | | 41.0 | | | | | |
| Max | | | 180.0 | | | | | |

AM3 - Planned Port Back-up, Storage and Workshop



| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|------------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Date | Weather | Start Time | 1st Hour | 2nd Hour | 3rd Hour | Action Level | Limit Level | Exceedance (Y/N) |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 263.4 | 500.0 | - |

Remarks:

****Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

*** The air quality impact monitoring at AM3 has been suspended since 7 Nov 2024 due to the construction works of other project at AM3.




APPENDIX G WIND SPEED AND DIRECTION FROM
HONG KONG OBSERVATORY


Wind Data Record from Hong Kong Observatory Weather Station

02-January-2026

(於香港時間02/01/2026 11 時 40 分更新) (Updated at 11:40H on 02/01/2026)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間02/01/2026 11 時 40 分更新) (Updated at 11:40H on 02/01/2026) (km/h)




08-January-2026

(於香港時間08/01/2026 15 時 20 分更新) (Updated at 15:20H on 08/01/2026)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間08/01/2026 15 時 20 分更新) (Updated at 15:20H on 08/01/2026) (km/h)




14-January-2026

(於香港時間14/01/2026 13 時 50 分更新) (Updated at 13:50H on 14/01/2026)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間14/01/2026 13 時 50 分更新) (Updated at 13:50H on 14/01/2026) (km/h)




19-January-2026

(於香港時間20/01/2026 16時40分更新) (Updated at 16:40H on 20/01/2026)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間20/01/2026 16時40分更新) (Updated at 16:40H on 20/01/2026) (km/h)




24-January-2026

(於香港時間24/01/2026 12 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 12:30H on 24/01/2026)




(公里/小時) (於香港時間24/01/2026 12 時 30 分更新) (Updated at 12:30H on 24/01/2026) (km/h)




30-January-2026

(於香港時間30/01/2026 20 時 50 分更新) (Updated at 20:50H on 30/01/2026)



(公里/小時) (於香港時間30/01/2026 20 時 50 分更新) (Updated at 20:50H on 30/01/2026) (km/h)



APPENDIX H EVENT AND ACTION PLAN

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality (Construction Dust)

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of complaint and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; and 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; and 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source(s), investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Implement remedial measures; and 3. Amend working methods agreed with the ER as appropriate. |
| Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC and ER; 3. Advise the Contractor and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; and 4. Amend proposal as appropriate. |

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| | meeting with Contractor, IEC and ER; and 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | | | |
| Limit level being exceeded by one sampling | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform Contractor, IEC, ER, and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; and 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within three working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; and 5. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by the ET; 2. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; 2. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source(s) and investigate the causes of exceedance; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; |

| Event | Action | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| sampling | <p>daily;</p> <p>5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented;</p> <p>6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken;</p> <p>7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and</p> <p>8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.</p> | <p>3. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and</p> <p>4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.</p> | <p>measures to be implemented;</p> <p>3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; and</p> <p>4. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.</p> | <p>3. Submit proposals for remedial measures to the ER with a copy to the IEC and ET within three working days of notification;</p> <p>4. Implement the agreed proposals;</p> <p>5. Revise and resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and</p> <p>6. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.</p> |

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality Monitoring

| Event | Action | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action level being exceeded by one sampling day | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; o Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; o Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Inform EPD and AFCD. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; o Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice |
| Action level being exceeded by two or more consecutive sampling days | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; o Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; o Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Inform EPD and AFCD; o Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; o Assess the | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; o Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. o Ensure additional mitigation measures are | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; o Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; o Consider changes of working methods; o Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them |

| Event | Action | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| | <p>ER;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | <p>effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</p> | <p>properly implemented.</p> | <p>to ER within 3 working days;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| <p>Limit level being exceeded by one sampling day</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; o Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; o Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER; o Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Inform EPD and AFCD; o Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; o Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; o Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. o Ensure additional mitigation measures are properly implemented. o Request Contractor(s) to critically review the working methods. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; o Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; o Critically review the need to change working methods; o Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them to ER within 3 working days; o Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |
| <p>Limit level being exceeded by two or more consecutive</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Repeat <i>in situ</i> measurement on the next day of exceedance to confirm findings; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check monitoring data submitted by ET and Contractor(s)'s | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Confirm receipt of notification of exceedance in writing; |

| Event | Action | | | |
|---------------|---|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| sampling days | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check monitoring data, plant, equipment and Contractor(s)'s working methods; o Identify source(s) of impact and record in notification of exceedance; o Inform IEC, Contractor(s) and ER; o Discuss with IEC and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and ensure that they are implemented. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> working methods; o Inform EPD and AFCD; o Discuss with ET and Contractor(s) on additional mitigation measures and advise ER accordingly; o Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Discuss with the IEC on the proposed additional mitigation measures and agree on the mitigation measures to be implemented. o Ensure additional mitigation measures are properly implemented. o Request Contractor(s) to critically review the working methods. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Check plant and equipment and rectify unacceptable practice; o Critically review the need to change working methods; o Discuss with ET and IEC on additional mitigation measures and propose them to ER within 3 working days; o Implement the agreed mitigation measures. |

APPENDIX I WATER QUALITY MONITORING
RESULTS AND THEIR GRAPHICAL
PRESENTATIONS

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 2-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 2-Jan-26 | C1 | Cloudy | 7:44 | <0.5 | Surface | 16.9 | 16.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 16.9 | | 7.8 | | 0.18 | | 58.5 | | 5.7 | | 9.5 | | | |
| | M1 | Cloudy | 8:08 | <0.5 | Surface | 16.4 | 16.4 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 78.7 | 78.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 16.4 | | 8.0 | | 0.12 | | 78.6 | | 7.7 | | 7.4 | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | Control Station | 11.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | Control Station | 12.4 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | Control Station | 3.6 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | Control Station | 3.9 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 5-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 5-Jan-26 | C1 | Cloudy | 10:21 | <0.5 | Surface | 16.4 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 8.1 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 16.4 | | 7.7 | | 0.13 | | 67.9 | | 6.6 | | 8.1 | | | |
| | M1 | Cloudy | 10:33 | <0.5 | Surface | 16.0 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 82.3 | 82.3 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5 | 5 |
| | | | | | | 16.0 | | 7.7 | | 0.11 | | 82.2 | | 8.1 | | 7.7 | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | Control Station | 9.8 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | Control Station | 10.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 8-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | | |
|----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value |
| 8-Jan-26 | C1 | Fine | 7:44 | <0.5 | Surface | 13.2 | 13.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 72.3 | 72.3 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | <2 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 13.2 | | 7.8 | | 0.16 | | 72.2 | | 7.6 | | 8.2 | | | | |
| | M1 | Fine | 8:09 | <0.5 | Surface | 12.8 | 12.8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 80.7 | 80.7 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | | 12.8 | | 7.7 | | 0.11 | | 80.7 | | 8.5 | | 6.4 | | 2 | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|----------------------------|---------|-----|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 3.8 |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 17.2 |
| | Station | 9.8 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control | 17.7 |
| | Station | 10.7 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 25.0 |
| | Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control | 26.0 |
| | Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 10-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value |
| 10-Jan-26 | C1 | Cloudy | 9:34 | <0.5 | Surface | 13.8 | 13.8 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.4 | <2 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 13.8 | | 8.0 | | 0.13 | | 72.7 | | 7.5 | | 7.4 | | | | |
| | M1 | Cloudy | 10:06 | <0.5 | Surface | 13.6 | 13.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 84.1 | 84.1 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 13.6 | | 8.0 | | 0.12 | | 84.0 | | 8.7 | | 6.8 | | 3 | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|----------------------------|---------|-----|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 3.8 |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 17.2 |
| | Station | 8.9 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control | 17.7 |
| | Station | 9.7 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control | 25.0 |
| | Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control | 26.0 |
| | Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 12-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 12-Jan-26 | C1 | Cloudy | 10:20 | <0.5 | Surface | 15.2 | 15.2 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 74.5 | 74.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 6.4 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 15.2 | | 7.6 | | 0.11 | | 74.5 | | 7.5 | | 6.4 | | | |
| | | | | | | 14.8 | 14.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 81.8 | 81.8 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3 | 3 |
| | 14.8 | 7.6 | 0.11 | 81.8 | 8.3 | 5.4 | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 3.8 |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 17.2 7.7 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 17.7 8.3 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 25.0 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 26.0 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

- For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
- If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 14-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 14-Jan-26 | C1 | Fine | 7:30 | <0.5 | Surface | 16.1 | 16.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 72.2 | 72.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.4 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 16.1 | | 7.8 | | 0.11 | | 72.2 | | 7.1 | | 7.4 | | | |
| | | | | | | 15.8 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | <2 | <2 |
| | 15.8 | 7.5 | 0.11 | 78.1 | 7.8 | 5.4 | | <2 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 3.8 |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 17.2 8.9 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 17.7 9.7 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| <i>Action Level</i> | Control Station | 25.0 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| <i>Limit Level</i> | Control Station | 26.0 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

- For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
- If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 16-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 16-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 11:01 | <0.5 | Surface | 17.7 | 17.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | | 17.7 | | 7.7 | | 0.17 | | 71.9 | | 6.8 | | 6.7 | | 2 | |
| | | | | | | 20.0 | 20.0 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 89.7 | 89.7 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 3 | 4 |
| | 20.0 | 8.1 | 0.11 | 89.7 | 8.2 | 7.7 | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|---------|-----|
| Action Level | Control | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control | 17.2 |
| | Station | 8.0 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control | 17.7 |
| | Station | 8.7 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control | 25.0 |
| | Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control | 26.0 |
| | Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 19-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 19-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 10:53 | <0.5 | Surface | 17.8 | 17.8 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 69.5 | 69.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | | 17.8 | | 7.5 | | 0.10 | | 69.5 | | 6.6 | | 6.8 | | 2 | |
| | | | | | | 17.5 | 17.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 79.9 | 79.9 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 2 | 2 |
| | 17.5 | 7.5 | 0.10 | 79.8 | 7.6 | 5.5 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|---------|-----|
| Action Level | Control | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control | 17.2 |
| | Station | 8.2 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control | 17.7 |
| | Station | 8.9 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control | 25.0 |
| | Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control | 26.0 |
| | Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 21-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 21-Jan-26 | C1 | Cloudy | 7:38 | <0.5 | Surface | 17.4 | 17.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 65.2 | 65.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | | 17.4 | | 7.6 | | 0.09 | | 65.1 | | 6.2 | | 7.2 | | 2 | |
| | | | | | | 17.0 | 17.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 77.6 | 77.6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 4 | 4 |
| | 17.0 | 7.5 | 0.11 | 77.5 | 7.5 | 6.7 | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | | 8.5 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | | 9.2 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 24-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 24-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 7:45 | <0.5 | Surface | 14.7 | 14.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 73.2 | 73.2 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.6 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 14.7 | | 7.5 | | 0.10 | | 73.2 | | 7.4 | | 7.6 | | <2 | |
| | | | | | | 14.6 | 14.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 80.1 | 80.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 3 | 3 |
| | 14.6 | 7.4 | 0.10 | 80.0 | 8.1 | 7.3 | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | | 9.1 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | | 9.9 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 26-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 26-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 11:12 | <0.5 | Surface | 18.0 | 18.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 67.1 | 67.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 6.9 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 18.0 | | 6.9 | | 0.09 | | 67.1 | | 6.4 | | 6.9 | | | |
| | | | | | | 18.0 | 18.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 81.1 | 81.1 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 2 | 2 |
| | M1 | Sunny | 11:23 | <0.5 | Surface | 18.0 | 18.0 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 81.1 | 81.1 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | | 18.0 | | 7.2 | | 0.10 | | 81.1 | | 7.7 | | 5.3 | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | Control Station | 8.3 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | Control Station | 9.0 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 28-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 28-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 10:58 | <0.5 | Surface | 18.8 | 18.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 55.3 | 55.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 7.7 | <2 | <2 |
| | | | | | | 18.8 | | 6.9 | | 0.09 | | 55.3 | | 5.2 | | 7.7 | | | |
| | | | | | | M1 | Sunny | 11:12 | <0.5 | Surface | 19.4 | 19.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 86.0 | 86.0 | 7.9 |
| | 19.4 | 7.3 | 0.09 | 86.0 | 7.9 | | | | | | 9.8 | | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** ***Bold Italic with underline*** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)

| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | Control Station | 9.2 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | Control Station | 10.0 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)

| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1* |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.4 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | Control Station | 2.6 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:

1. For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
2. For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
3. If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.

Water Quality Monitoring for Hung Shui Kiu Effluent Polishing Plant Phase 1

Water Quality Monitoring Results on 30-Jan-2026

| Date | Station | Weather Condition | Sampling Time | Water Depth (m) | Level | Water Temperature (°C) | | pH | | Salinity (NTU) | | DO Saturation (%) | | DO (mg/L) | | Turbidity (NTU) | | SS (mg/L) | |
|-----------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average | Value | Average |
| 30-Jan-26 | C1 | Sunny | 7:30 | <0.5 | Surface | 18.4 | 18.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 65.1 | 65.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 18.4 | | 7.3 | | 0.09 | | 65.1 | | 6.1 | | 7.3 | | 3 | |
| | M1 | Sunny | 7:52 | <0.5 | Surface | 18.4 | 18.4 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 77.4 | 77.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | 18.4 | | 7.2 | | 0.10 | | 77.3 | | 7.3 | | 6.0 | | 3 | |

Remarks:

* ***Bold Italic*** means Action Level exceedance

** **Bold Italic with underline** means Limit Level exceedance

Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)

| DO (mg/L) (See Note 1) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Action Level | Control Station | 3.8 |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 3.7 |

Turbidity (NTU)




| Turbidity (NTU) (See Note 2) | C1 | M1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 17.2 |
| | | 8.8 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 17.7 |
| | | 9.5 (130% of Control Station) |

Suspended Solid (mg/L)



| SS (mg/L) (See Note 2&3) | C1 | M1 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Action Level | Control Station | 25.0 |
| | | 3.6 (120% of Control Station) |
| Limit Level | Control Station | 26.0 |
| | | 3.9 (130% of Control Station) |

Notes:


- For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality occurs when monitoring results is higher than the limits.
- If the lab result of SS concentration at control station was less than 2 mg/L, 2 mg/L would be assumed as the SS concentration for calculating the action and limit levels based on the control station as a conservative approach.



Note: The suspended solids measured on 29 December 2025 are less than 2 mg/L.
 The suspended solids measured on 5, 8, 10, 12, 14, 24, 26 and 28 January 2026 are less than 2 mg/L.



Note: The Action and Limit Level of turbidity can be referred to Table 3.4 of the monthly EM&A report.



Note: The suspended solids measured on 24 and 30 October 2025 are less than 2 mg/L.
 The suspended solids measured on 3, 7, 10 and 17 November 2025 are less than 2 mg/L.
 The suspended solids measured on 14 January 2026 are less than 2 mg/L.

APPENDIX J MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW
TABLE

Appendix J - Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table

Project: CONTRACT NO. HATS 07/2023 ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR HUNG SHUI KIU EFFLUENT POLISHING PLANT PHASE 1

Reporting Month: January 2026

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated | | | | | | | Actual Quantities of Non-inert C&D Materials Generated | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | (a) Total Quantity Generated | (b) Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | (c) Reused in the Contract | (d) Reused in other Projects | (e) Disposed of as Public Fill | (f) Disposed in Sorting Facilities | (g) Imported Fill | (h) Metals | (i) Paper / cardboard packaging | (j) Plastics | (k) Chemical Waste | (l) Recyclable Yard Waste | (m) Others, i.e. General Refuse disposed of at Landfill |
| | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (m ³) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) | (tonnes) |
| Yr 2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sep-24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.43 | 0.00 |
| Dec-24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 58.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Feb-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.96 |
| Mar-25 | 54.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.48 |
| Apr-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.49 |
| May-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.20 |
| Jun-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.36 |
| Oct-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 |
| Nov-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12227.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.72 |
| Dec-25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.41 |
| Jan-26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 74.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.07 |
| Total | 54.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12357.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 175.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.43 | 74.52 |

APPENDIX K CUMULATIVE STATISTICS ON
COMPLAINTS, NOTIFICATION OF
SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL
PROSECUTIONS

Cumulative Statistics on Complaints, Notification of Summons and Successful Prosecutions

| | Date Received | Subject | Status | Total no. received in this month | Total no. received since project commencement |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|----------------------------------|---|
| Environmental Complaints | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of Summons | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Prosecutions | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |